For the Northern District of California

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9					
10	GEO. M. MARTIN COMPANY, a California corporation, and THE MARTIN FAMILY	No. C 07-00692 WHA			
11	TRÚST - 1989,				
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER APPROVING STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER SUBJECT			
13	v.	TO STATED CONDITIONS			
14	ALLIANCE MACHINE SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a Wyoming				
15	corporation,				
16	Defendant.				
17					

The stipulated protective order submitted by the parties is hereby APPROVED, subject to the following conditions:

- The parties must make a good-faith determination that any information designated "confidential" warrants protection under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Designations of material as "confidential" must be narrowly tailored to include only material for which there is good cause. A pattern of over-designation may lead to an order de-designating all or most materials on a wholesale basis.
- 2. In order to be treated as confidential, any materials filed with the Court must be lodged with a request for filing under seal in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5. Please limit your requests for sealing to only those

narrowly-tailored portions of materials for which good cause to seal exists.					
Please include all other portions of your materials in the public file and					
clearly indicate therein where material has been redacted and sealed. Each filing					
requires an individualized sealing order; blanket prospective authorizations are no					
longer allowed by Civil Local Rule 79-5.					

- 3. Chambers copies should include all material both redacted and unredacted so that the chambers staff does not have to re-assemble the whole brief or declaration. Although chambers copies should clearly designate which portions are confidential, chambers copies with confidential materials will be handled like all other chambers copies of materials without special restriction, and will typically be recycled, not shredded.
- 4. Any confidential materials used openly in court hearings or trial will not be treated in any special manner absent a further order.
- 5. This order does not preclude any party from moving to de-designate information or documents that have been designated as confidential. The party seeking to designate material as confidential has the burden of establishing that the material is entitled to protection.
- 6. The Court will retain jurisdiction over disputes arising from the proposed and stipulated protective order for only 90 days after final termination of the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 13, 2007.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE